



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

	States Patent and Trademark Office
Address:	COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
	P.O. Box 1450
	Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
	www.usnto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE:	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	OR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/772,958	02/05/2004	Mitsurou Moriya	YAMAP0388USJ	5294	
7590 10/15/2004			EXAMINER		
Mark D. Saral		DINH, TAN X			
RENNER, OTT	ΓO, BOISSELLE & SKL/	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
1621 Euclid Av	<del>* -</del>	2653			
Cleveland, OH	I 44115-2191	DATE MAILED: 10/15/2004			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/772,958	MORIYA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	TAN X. DINH	2653				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☒ This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	ce except for formal matters, pro	osecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. <b>11</b> , 4	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) is/are pending in the application	n.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	n from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		e.				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	•	e e e				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce	epted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	Irawing(s)₋be₋held₋in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	•					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 08/577,253.						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receive	ed.				
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) €	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2/05/04. •	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	atent Application (FTO-192)				

- 1) This application is a Continuation Application of S/N 10/267,601, filed 10/09/2002 and now is US 6,737,144; which is a Continuation Application of S/N 09/865,308, filed 5/25/2001 and now is US 6,489,002; which is a Continuation Application of S/N 09/698,569, filed 10/26/2000 and now is US 6,280,812; which is a Continuation Application of S/N 09/183,310, filed 10/30/1998 and now is US 6,143,426; which is a Continuation Application of S/N 08/895,787, filed 7/17/1997 and now is US 5,878,018; which is a Continuation Application of S/N 08/577,253, filed 12/22/1995 and now is US 5,726,969. Further, the S/N 09/183,310 has a Continuation Application of S/N 09/295,951, filed 4/21/1999 and now is US 6,031,813.
- 2) The I.D.S filed 2/05/2004 has been considered by the Examiner. However, the Japan and/or foreign document(s), if they have not been written in English, are considered to the extent that could be understood from the English Abstract and the drawings.

Form PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 is(are) attached herein.

3) Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d). The certified copy has been filed in parent Application No. 08/577,253, filed on 12/22/1995.

Application/Control Number: 10/772,958

Art Unit: 2653

4) The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970) and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed Terminal Disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1,2,4 and 5 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 1 of U.S. Patent No. 6,280,812 in view of TOIDE et al (5,540,967).

Claims 1 and 4 of this instant application discloses all the subject matter as claimed in claim 1 of U.S. Patent No. 6,280,812, except that the adhesive layer includes a thermosetting material. However, the method of using an adhesive layer includes a thermosetting material is old and well known in the art, evident

in TOIDE et al's figure 5, adhesive layer 25 and in column 6, lines 49-65. Therefore, one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made would have been motivated to use an adhesive layer includes thermosetting material as claimed.

As to claims 2 and 5, the focusing arrangement for getting information in the second information surface is inherent in every double side optical recording/reproducing device.

6) Claims 1-6 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-6 of copending Application No. 10/772,807. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because:

Claims 1-6 in this instant application recite every features of claims 1-6 in copending Application No. 10/772,807, except that the adhesive layer includes thermosetting material rather than ultraviolet-curable material. However, an adhesive layer includes thermosetting material or ultraviolet-curable material are old and widely used in the art ( see paragraph (5) above ). Therefore, one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made would have been motivated to use an adhesive layer includes thermosetting material as claimed.

This is a provisional obviousness-type double patenting

rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

7) The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure ( See form PTO-892 attached herein ).

Applicant is reminded that in amending in response to a rejection of claims ( if the rejection involves with any applicable arts ), the patentable novelty must be clearly shown in view of the state of the art disclosed by the references cited and the objection made. Applicant must also show how the amendments avoid such references and objections. See 37 CFR §1.111(c).

8) Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to TAN X. DINH whose telephone number is (703) 308-4859. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 8:00AM - 5:30PM.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703)872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)305-3900.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system.

Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at (866) 217-9197 (toll-free).

TAN DINH
PRIMARY EXAMINER
October 14, 2004